

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/083,985	02/27/2002	Jeremy Jones	99069	6845		
29050	29050 7590 12/17/2003			EXAMINER		
PHYLLIS T. TURNER-BRIM, ESQ., LAW DEPARTMENT CABOT MICROELECTRONICS CORPORATION			MCDONALD, SHANTESE L			
	ROELECTRONICS CO COMMONS DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
AURORA, IL 60504			3723	1.		
			DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003	, Y		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/083,985 Applicant(s)

Jones et al.

Examiner

McDonald, Shantese

Art Unit **3723**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cove	er sheet witi	h the correspondence address			
Period for Reply							
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	E <u>3</u>	MONTH(S) FROM			
	- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the						
mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.							
- If NO	- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
- Any re	eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Status	d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 8, 20	03		·			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-f	final.				
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-37</u>			is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-11, 13, 15, 16, 18-27, and 29-37</u>			is/are rejected.			
7) 💢	Claim(s) 12, 14, 17, and 28	********		is/are objected to.			
8) 🗀	Claims		are subjec	t to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	ition Papers						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)□	IO) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		_ is: a)□	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)	2) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)	13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some* c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been rec	eived in Ap	plication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
_	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intervie	w Summary (P1	TO-413) Paper No(s)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Petent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Petent Application (PTO-152)				nt Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10083985

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 1. Claims 1,2,7-11,13-16,18-20,22-27,29-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Newell.

Newell teaches a method for producing a polishing pad comprising providing a thermoplastic porous polymer structure, (col. 3, lines 9-29), comprising polyurethane which comprises an intrinsic/extrinsic surface texture, (col.2, lines 36-37), compressing at least a region, (col. 4, lines 8-12), of the structure to provide a translucent region, and overlaying a region of the porous polymer structure to be compressed with a space-filling material prior to compressing, (col. 4, lines 8-10), and forming a polishing pad comprising the porous polymer structure, whereby a polishing pad is produced comprising the translucent region. Newell also teaches heating the structure, (col. 4, lines 25-31), and that the polymer structure is opaque prior to the compression step, (col. 3, lines 30-50) and the polishing pad further comprises an opaque region that is provided by a material that is different from the porous polymer structure, (col. Lines 40-43). Newell further teaches planarizing a substrate, which is a semiconductor device,

Application/Control Number: 10083985

Art Unit: 3723

and passing light through the translucent region to evaluate the polishing on the substrate, (col. 4,

line 61- col. 5, line 35).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 3-6 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Newell.

Newell teaches all the limitations of the claims except for the porous polymer structure is heated to a temperature about 10-50 °C above its melting point, the structure being compressed to a thickness that is about 10-50% of its thickness prior to compression and the translucent region is translucent to light having a wavelength of about 190-3500 nm. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to provide the invention of Newell with the above listed limitations, since it has been held that when the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10083985

Art Unit: 3723

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 12,14,17 and 28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim,

but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-37 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Shantese McDonald whose telephone number is (703) 308-8722.

Joseph J. Hail, III Supervisory Patent Examiner

June Hail I

Technology Center 3700

Page 4

S.L.M.

December 11, 2003